

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



34

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gcv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/450,364	11/29/1999	LUIS FELIPE CABRERA	777.246US1	7529
21186	7590 03/12/2003			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			ANYA, CHARLES E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2126	

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>				
•	Application No.	licant(s)				
	09/450,364	CABRERA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles E Anya	2126				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 33 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) de  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	TION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation.  1ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute. Cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>26 June 2001</u> .					
24)						
3) Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims	r allowance except for formal ma under <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	_					
9) The specification is objected to by the E		the Eveniner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	l∐ accepted or b)∐ objected to by	vence See 37 CER 1 85(a)				
Applicant may not request that any object 11) The proposed drawing correction filed of	tion to the drawing(s) be neighborhood by $\Box$	disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are requi						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to b						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internal     See the attached detailed Office action	the priority documents have bed tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) for a list of the certified copies n	en received in this National Stage ). ot received.				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign lang	uage provisional application has	been received.				
Attachment(s)	_	(070,440) David Marks				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page	O-948) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		Part of Paner No. 4				

·Application/Control Number: 09/450,364

Art Unit: 2126

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1,3 and 6 recites the limitation "the storage volume" in lines 5,11 and 20.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The Examiner would assume the phrase "the storage volume" to mean "the logical storage volume".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1 9, 11 19 and 21 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 6,457,139 B1 to D'Errico et al.

As to claim 1, D'Errico teaches Storage Management System (Figure 1 Col. 1 Ln. 15 – 67, Col. 2 Ln. 1 – 30), a Volume Provider (File/Logical Volume Mapping Layer 23 Col. 15 Ln. 3 – 29), one or more storage devices (Disk Drive 5a – 5b (Figure 1), "...physical layer..." Col. 15 Ln. 3 – 29), a Storage Subsystem (Storage System 3 Col.

Application/Control Number: 09/450,364

Art Unit: 2126

15 Ln. 3 – 29) and a Application Programming Interface ("application programming interface..." Col. 15 Ln. 3 – 29).

As to claim 2, D'Errico teaches the access information that includes a desired level of fault tolerance ("fault tolerance..." Col. 14 Ln. 49 - 67).

As to claim 3, D'Errico teaches the access information that includes input/output patterns ("type of access..." Col. 14 Ln. 21 – 35).

As to claim 4, D'Errico teaches access pattern that indicate sequential reads and writes ("sequential read or write..." Col. 7 Ln. 60 – 65).

As to claim 5, D'Errico teaches the access information that includes optimization preferences ("information…" Col. 13 Ln. 8 – 14).

As to claim 6, D'errico teaches the logical storage volume as a function of the storage access information (Col. 13 Ln. 8 – 14).

As to claim 7, D'Errico teaches a Software Application ("logging facility..." Col. 18 Ln. 38 – 67, Col. 19 Ln. 1 – 67).

As to claim 8, see the rejection of claim 7.

As to claim 9, D"Errico teaches reconfiguring the storage volume based on monitored access information (Col. 9 Ln. 21 – 26).

As to claim 11, see the rejection of claims 1 and 6.

As to claim 12, see the rejection of claim 2.

As to claim 13, see the rejection of claim 3.

As to claim 14, see the rejection of claim 4.

Application/Control Number: 09/450,364

Art Unit: 2126

As to claim 15, D'Errico teaches the storage access information to include a request size ("size..." Col. 17 Ln. 39 – 59).

As to claim 16, see the rejection of claim 5.

As to claim 17, see the rejection of claim 9.

As to claim 18, see the rejection of claim 9.

As to claim 19, D'Errico teaches the configuring to include resolving conflicts (Col. 18 Ln. 38 - 67, Col. 19 Ln. 1 - 67).

As to claim 21, see the rejection of claims 1 and 6.

As to claim 22, see the rejection of claim 9.

As to claim 23, see the rejection of claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 24 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,457,139 B1 to D'Errico et al.

As to claim 24, claim 2 meets claim 24 except for a second interface.



Application/Control Number: 09/450,364

Art Unit: 2126

Although, D'Errico does not explicitly teach a second interface, the application programming interface (Col. 15 Ln. 3 – 29) would obviously implement a second interface because the application programming interface provides fault tolerance.

As to claim 25, see the rejection of claims 3 and 25.

As to claim 26, see the rejection of claims 4 and 25.

As to claim 27, claim 5 meets claim 27 except for a third interface.

Although, D'Errico does not explicitly teach a third interface, the application programming interface (Col. 15 Ln. 3 – 29) would obviously implement a third interface because the application programming interface provides attribute that includes optimization parameters.

7. Claims 10, 20 and 28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,457,139 B1 to D'Errico et al. in view of Blumenau et al.

As to claim 10, D'Errico does not teach the application programming interface that conforms to component object model (COM).

Blemenau does not explicitly teach the application programming interface that conforms to component object model (COM), however, Blemenau does teach implementing the system in a programming language that includes object-oriented language. Being an object-oriented language, COM can therefore, obviously, be used to implement the application programming interface of D'Errico.

As to claim 20, see the rejection of claim 10.

As to claim 28, see the rejection of claim 10.



Art Unit: 2126

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles E Anya whose telephone number is (703) 305-3411. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:30) First Friday off.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7240 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Charles E Anya Examiner Art Unit 2126

ALVIN OBERLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100